

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

United States of America

v.

Santos Chavarria-Ortiz

Date of Original Judgment: 09/02/2015

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Case No: 15CR52-01(DSD)

USM No: 67498-079

Reynaldo A. Aligada, Jr.

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months is reduced to _____.
(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Defendant is ineligible for a sentence reduction because the amendment did not have the effect of lowering his applicable guideline range. Defendant is therefore not covered by USSG § 1B1.10(a)(2)(B) and 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2).

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated _____ shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 06/02/2025

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)



Judge's signature

David S. Doty D.C. Judge

Printed name and title